UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/579,192	08/16/2006	Hans-Heinrich Angermann	1006/0135PUS1	6692
	7590 06/25/201 r, Olds & Lowe, PLLC	EXAMINER		
4000 Legato Road			DUONG, THO V	
Suite 310 FAIRFAX, VA	22033		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			06/25/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision	Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination  ANGERMANN, HANS-HEINRICH	
from Pre-Appeal Brief	10/579,192		
Review		Art Unit	
	Tho v. Duong	3744	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Brief Request for Review filed 22 April 2010. 1. Improper Request – The Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following reason(s): ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. The request does not include reasons why a review is appropriate. A proposed amendment is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request. Other: The time period for filing a response continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from the mail date of the last Office communication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received. 2. Proceed to Board of Patent Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been held. The application remains under appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant is required to submit an appeal brief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal brief will be reset to be one month from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period running from the receipt of the notice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the appeal brief is extendible under 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date of the notice of appeal, as applicable. The panel has determined the status of the claim(s) is as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-21. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: . . 3. Allowable application – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. 4. Reopen Prosecution – A conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action will be mailed. No further action is required by applicant at this time. All participants: (1) Tho v. Duong. (3)Cheryl Tyler. (2) Janet Baxter. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

/Chervl J. Tyler/

Unit 3744

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art

/Janet C. Baxter/

**TC 3700 TQAS** 

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3744

/Tho v Duong/